

The Honorable Judge Robert S. Lasnik

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE**

LETICIA LUCERO,

Plaintiff,

v.

CENLAR FSB and BAYVIEW LOAN
SERVICING, LLC, MORTGAGE
ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION SYSTEMS,
INC., NORTHWEST TRUSTEE SERVICES,
INC., ROUTH CRABTREE OLSEN, P.S., aka
RCO LEGAL, P.S., JENNIFER DOBRON,
individually and in her capacity as corporate
officer and/or employee of CENLAR FSB,
NANCY K. MORRIS, individually and her
capacity as notary public and/or employee of
CENLAR, FSB,

Defendants.

No. 2:13-cv-00602 RSL

**ORDER ENTERING STIPULATION
OF PLAINTIFF AND RCO LEGAL,
P.S. REGARDING FED. R. CIV. P.
30(b)(6) DEPOSITION OF RCO
LEGAL, P.S.**

THIS MATTER having come on before the Court upon the Stipulation of Plaintiff and RCO Legal Regarding Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(b)(6) Deposition of RCO Legal, P.S.; and the Court being fully advised in the premises, now therefore, it is hereby

ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(b)(6) Deposition of RCO is hereby stayed pending the Court's determination of Defendant RCO's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings Under Rule 12(c) ("RCO'S Motion").

ORDER ENTERING STIPULATION OF PLAINTIFF
AND RCO LEGAL, P.S. REGARDING FED. R. CIV.
P. 30(b)(6) DEPOSITION OF RCO LEGAL, P.S.
PAGE 1 OF 3 - CASE NO. 2:13-CV-00602-RSL

**RCO
LEGAL, P.S.**

13555 SE 36th St., Ste. 300
Bellevue, WA 98006
Phone: 425.458.2121
Fax: 425.458.2131


1 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that RCO's obligation to
2 respond to the Subpoena Duces Tecum served by Plaintiff on RCO is hereby stayed pending the
3 Court's determination of Defendant RCO's Motion.

4 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that if RCO's Motion is not
5 granted and Plaintiff wishes to go forward with the Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(b)(6) deposition of RCO, the
6 Parties agree to work to reach agreement in regard to the scope of the deposition testimony sought
7 by Plaintiff and to reschedule the deposition to a mutually agreeable time.

8 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that RCO's right to move for
9 a protective order if the Parties cannot mutually agree to the scope of the deposition testimony
10 sought is not waived.

11 It is so ordered.

12
13 DATED this 10th day of June, 2014.

14
15 

16 Robert S. Lasnik
17 United States District Judge

18
19
20 Presented By:

21
22 **RCO LEGAL, P.S.**

23 Dated: June 9, 2014

24 By: /s/ Heidi Buck Morrison
25 Heidi Buck Morrison, WSBA No.41769
26 Of Attorneys for Defendant RCO Legal, P.S.

GRAND CENTRAL LAW, PLLC

Dated: June 9, 2014

By: /s/ Ha Thu Dao, per email authorization
Ha Thu Dao, WSBA No.21796
Of Attorneys for Plaintiff

BARRAZA LAW, PLLC

Dated: June 9, 2014

By: /s/ Vicenta Omar Barraza, per email
authorization
Vicente Omar Barraza, WSBA No. 43589
Of Attorneys for Plaintiff